CR Number: 2562

**External** SAFER CR # 50

Reference:

Category: XML, EDI, ICD

**Component:** SAFER

**Synopsis:** Request to review SAFER business rule regarding multiple VINs

**Status:** Closed Deferred

**Disposition:** [2004-08-23] Closed. Volpe will consider in PRISM context.

**Description:** Submitted on Dec 16th, 2003

Nebraska is requesting that the following SAFER business rule be reviewed.

The second business rule we would like reviewed is the requirement that the SAFER extract file does not allow more than one VIN entry within the same jurisdiction. It is my understanding that the file may contain duplicate VIN entries across jurisdictions but not within a jurisdiction. The same scenario that would create the situation where a vehicle appears in two jurisdictions could also happen, and does with some regularity, within a jurisdiction.

Vehicle A is registered under Carrier ABC Co. at the beginning of the registration year. Six months into the registration year, Vehicle A breaks lease with carrier ABC Co. and leases onto Carrier XYZ, Co. Carrier ABC Co. waits several weeks to file the appropriate paper work to transfer registration fees from Vehicle A to newly added vehicle B. During the interim, vehicle A is technically active in both carrier ABC Co. and XYZ Co. Carrier ABC Co. paid registration fees for vehicle A and until such time that they direct the Department to either refund or transfer those fees, the vehicle remains active in their fleet. Carrier XYZ Co. has also paid registration fees for the same vehicle, so the vehicle is also active (albeit with a different plate number) in that fleet. I understand that from an enforcement perspective this may seem confusing, but today, if a check by VIN, were conducted on the Nebraska system under the example above, both vehicles would appear active until specific carrier initiated action would require us to inactivate one.

## [2004-03-11] Discussed at 2005-01-15 ACCB meeting.

It was recommended that states not send a vehicle registration to SAFER when it is in a transitional state.

It is recommended that Volpe review this business rule as documented in the SAFER v4.2 ICD and as implemented in SAFER.

[2004-08-23] Discussed at 2004-08-19 ACCB meeting.

This CR, related to the problem of multiple VINs, was submitted by Nebraska in December, 2003. Nebraska has developed a workaround (handling the situation via edit, so that duplicate records are not sent to SAFER). This CR will be closed. However, Volpe will consider this issue as it relates to PRISM and potential future merging of data requirements and business rules of the CVISN and PRISM programs.

## IMPACT on architecture:

No impact on documentation (other than SAFER ICD)

Fix:

**Comment:** 

Attachment Names:

**Responsibility:** Magnusson Nancy C.

**Modified Time:** 8/23/2004 12:58:29 PM

**Modified By:** Salazar Sandra B.

**Entered On:** 3/23/2004 10:13:12 AM

**Entered By:** Magnusson Nancy C.

Severity: Medium

**Priority:** No

Type: Defect

**Closed On:** 8/23/2004 12:58:29 PM